The Mission Accessible Near-Earth Objects Survey (MANOS): three years of photometry

A. Thirouin¹, N. Moskovitz¹, R. P. Binzel², E. Christensen³, F. E. DeMeo², M. J. Person², D. Polishook⁴, C.A. Thomas⁵, D. Trilling⁶, M. Willman⁷, M. Hinkle⁸, B. Burt¹.

- ¹: Lowell Observatory, Flagstaff, AZ.
- ²: MIT, Cambridge, MA.
- ³: University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ.
- ⁴: Weizmann Institute, Israel.
- ⁵: PSI, Tucson, AZ.
- ⁶: NAU, Flagstaff, AZ.
- ⁷: University of Hawaii, Pukalani, HI.
- ⁸: University of Central Florida, FL.





Introduction

- Multi-year survey awarded by NOAO: 2013B-2016B, and funded through NASA NEOO (PI: N. Moskovitz).
- Goal: Catalog physical properties of several hundred of sub-km Near-Earth Objects (NEOs).
- Target selection: NEO has to be mission accessible, focus on small objects (diameter <1 km).
- Two techniques: spectroscopy for composition, taxonomic class and albedo; photometry for astrometry (refine orbit), and lightcurve: rotational properties, morphology => object fully characterized, observed with both techniques.
- Main facilities: 8.1 m Gemini Telescopes (north and south), 4.3 m Discovery Channel Telescope (DCT), 4.1 m Southern Astrophysical Research telescope (SOAR), 4 m Mayall Telescope, 2.2 m University of Hawaii telescope, and 1.3 m SMARTS telescope.

MANOS: lightcurves



2015 LK24, SOAR/GOODMAN

Julian Date [2457200+]

P=0.4084±0.0002 h

 $\Delta_m = 0.55 \pm 0.02 \text{ mag}$

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0

Rotational phase

Rotational phase

P=2 008+0 001 h

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0

- Lightcurves for 250 NEOs.
- Large diversity of lightcurves.
- Three main category: Full lightcurve with at least one full rotation of the NEO. Partial lightcurve with an increase/decrease of the object's brightness, Flat lightcurve without clear variability.
- Symmetric/Asymmetric • liahtcurve.
- Complex lightcurve.
- Tumblers.

— Lightcurves



- Lightcurve amplitude gives information about the object's shape. What can we learn about the shape distribution of the NEO population?
- Lightcurve amplitude varies with spin axis orientation.
- Lightcurve morphology depends on shape of the object.
- Lightcurve amplitude varies with observing circumstances: phase angle.

— Lightcurves



- Lightcurve amplitude gives information about the object's shape. What can we learn about the shape distribution of the NEO population?
- Lightcurve amplitude varies with spin axis orientation.
- Lightcurve morphology depends on shape of the object.
- Lightcurve amplitude varies with observing circumstances: phase angle.

Rotational properties

— Lightcurves



- Lightcurve amplitude gives information about the object's shape. What can we learn about the shape distribution of the NEO population?
- Lightcurve amplitude varies with spin axis orientation.
- Lightcurve morphology depends on shape of the object.
- Lightcurve amplitude varies with observing circumstances: phase angle.

Rotational properties

— Lightcurves: synthetic population —————



Distribution based on radar observations Spin axis orientation between 90° and -90°

- Δm (shape, spin axis, phase angle).
- Synthetic population
- MANOS + literature versus synthetic population
- Normalized histogram for uniform distribution of shape.
- Normalized histogram of the sample: good agreement.
- With excess of spherical and elongated objects: not so good match.

Rotational properties



- Δm (shape, spin axis, phase angle).
- Synthetic population
- MANOS + literature versus synthetic population
- Normalized histogram for uniform distribution of shape.
- Normalized histogram of the sample: good agreement.
- With excess of spherical and elongated objects: not so good match.

5/5 A. Thirouin et al., Flagstaff Astronomy Symposium, September 6, 2017

Rotational properties

— Lightcurves: synthetic population —————



- Δm (shape, spin axis, phase angle).
- Synthetic population
- MANOS + literature versus synthetic population
- Normalized histogram for uniform distribution of shape.
- Normalized histogram of the sample: good agreement.
- With excess of spherical and elongated objects: not so good match.

5/5 A. Thirouin et al., Flagstaff Astronomy Symposium, September 6, 2017

Rotational properties



- Δm (shape, spin axis, phase angle).
- Synthetic population
- MANOS + literature versus synthetic population
- Normalized histogram for uniform distribution of shape.
- Normalized histogram of the sample: good agreement.
- With excess of spherical and elongated objects: not so good match.

Rotational properties



^{5/5} A. Thirouin et al., Flagstaff Astronomy Symposium, September 6, 2017

- Δm (shape, spin axis, phase angle).
- Synthetic population
- MANOS + literature versus synthetic population
- Normalized histogram for uniform distribution of shape.
- Normalized histogram of the sample: good agreement.
- With excess of spherical and elongated objects: not so good match.

Rotational properties





- Δm (shape, spin axis, phase angle).
- Synthetic population
- MANOS + literature versus synthetic population
- Normalized histogram for uniform distribution of shape.
- Normalized histogram of the sample: good agreement.
- With excess of spherical and elongated objects: not so good match.